



IMPACT REPORT

Impact Indicators

Methodological framework · Evidence by territorial node · Founding phase

ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This document is part of the Exodus & Resilience Knowledge Hub. It contains institutional information drawn directly from exodusandresilience.org and the founding documents of the platform. In its founding phase, some indicators are methodological frameworks pending verified data, consistent with the institutional transparency commitment of Exodus & Resilience.

VERSION

v1.0 · May 2026

DATE

May 2026

LANGUAGE

English


WEB

exodusandresilience.org

Building verifiable capacity before reporting results

Exodus & Resilience is in its founding phase. Before reporting programme outcomes, it has prioritized building the institutional architecture required to make impact verifiable, traceable and sustainable. Impact in culture requires different indicators from physical infrastructure: it measures relational, symbolic and community transformations. Every impact claim is published with its methodological source, context and limitations.

Documented founding phase · May 2026



Six-stage model · Measurement methodology

- 01 · Territorial diagnosis**
 Mapping of needs, local actors, resources, access gaps and cultural conditions. Foundation for all subsequent indicators of the programme.
- 02 · Curatorial and community design**
 Defining curatorial axes, social objectives, audiences and documentation criteria. Determines what will be measured and from what perspective.
- 03 · Programme activation**
 Implementation of cultural, educational and community activities. Primary source of quantitative indicators: participants, activities, alliances.
- 04 · Mediation and training**
 Sustained accompaniment. Depth indicators: continuity, bonds generated and perception of belonging and community cohesion.
- 05 · Documentation and knowledge**
 Archive, publications, records and case studies. Qualitative indicators: documentary quality, accessibility and institutional and academic use.
- 06 · Measurement, reporting and scalability**
 Outcome evaluation, methodological limits, report publication and transfer of learnings across territorial nodes.

Six indicator categories

Cultural access

Participation, audience diversity, attendance continuity and barrier reduction. Measures whether programmes generate real access for habitually excluded communities.

Training and knowledge

Hours, workshops, residencies, mentorships and methodological transfer between nodes. Measures capacity to produce transformative learning beyond information.

Cultural production and archive

Exhibitions, publications, records and case studies. Measures production of cultural capital for communities that lack it in conventional circuits.

Community cohesion

Perception of belonging, continuity, bonds and structured testimonies. Measures whether programmes generate social relationships that persist beyond the event.

Institutional alliances

Foundations, universities, companies and territorial organizations involved. Measures the social capital generated by the platform across the cultural ecosystem.

Institutional traceability

Data source, measurement responsible, date and verification method. Ensures every impact claim can be audited and published with its methodological source.

Verifiable SDG contribution

Each programme identifies the SDGs where it can demonstrate verifiable contribution with indicators, territorial context and explicit methodology.

<p>3 Health Cultural wellbeing and cohesion in displacement contexts.</p>	<p>4 Education Training, mediation and public knowledge. Target 4.7.</p>	<p>5 Gender Equity in participation, leadership and visibility.</p>	<p>8 Decent work Opportunities for artists, mediators and researchers.</p>
<p>10 Inequalities Cultural access for migrant and underserved communities.</p>	<p>11 Cities Cultural activation, decentralization and heritage.</p>	<p>16 Peace Transparent governance, ethics and accountability.</p>	<p>17 Partnerships Multi-actor international cooperation.</p>

Methodological limits - What we do not yet measure

Impact evaluation in culture requires caution. Exodus & Resilience does not present causal attributions it cannot support documentally. When a relationship is indirect, contextual or preliminary, it is communicated as such. We also report what we do not yet measure well and the learnings that need correction.

NOTE · INDICATORS PENDING NODE ACTIVATION

Programme indicators —participants, workshops, training hours, cultural production— will be published when each node enters execution with verified data. Every published data point will be accompanied by its source, responsible, date and methodology. exodusandresilience.org/en/impact